



*"Working Together
For All Iowans"*

2019

RECORD OF THE IOWA GENERAL ASSEMBLY & GOVERNOR

This voting record covers only recorded floor votes of the Iowa Senate and Iowa House that are of utmost importance to labor. Thus, unfortunately, not all of our priorities are in the record, and we must use other means to determine legislators' positions on those issues until such time as there is an official vote. This record does, however, serve as a tool to help Iowa trade unionists access whether or not their elected representatives support a agenda that benefits all workers, organized or not.

The Iowa Federation of Labor also scores the Governor's actions so members can understand the record of the executive branch of government. Legislation that the IFL supported or opposed that a Governor signs, vetoes, or line-item vetoes will also be scored as well.

Iowa Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO
2000 Walker Street, Suite A
Des Moines, Iowa 50317

Ken D. Sagar
President

Charlie Wishman
Secretary-Treasurer

*Iowa Federation of Labor
Representing the Interests of Iowa Workers Since 1894*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Senate Leadership	2
Iowa Senate Votes Scored by the IFL	3
Senators Voting Record for 2018 and Lifetime Percentages	5
House Leadership	8
Iowa House Votes Scored by the IFL	9
House Voting Record for 2018 and Lifetime Percentages	11
Veto Record of the Governor	16
Governor of Iowa	17

Voting Record of the Iowa Senate—2019

The agenda of the Senate is controlled by the Senate Majority Leader, and the Senate President presides over the proceedings of the Iowa Senate.

These are the Senators responsible for setting the agenda of the Iowa Senate.

Senate Majority Leader

Sen. Jack Whitver (R)



President of the Senate

Sen. Charles Schneider (R)



Iowa Senate 2019 Recorded Votes on Labor Bills and Amendments

#	Bill Name & IFL Position	Bill Description
1	<p><i>SF 237—JUDICIAL NOMINATIONS CHANGES VERSION I</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION—OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, WAS PASSED IN DIFFERENT FORM LATER IN THE SESSION.</i></p>	<p>This first attempt at changing the judicial nominating process changed the make up from resident members of the Iowa Bar and replaced it with appointments by legislative leaders and the appointees from the Governor. It would have ended the current commissioner's terms, and also made more changes at the associate judge level.</p> <p>The IFL believed that there were no issues with the current system, and that these changes were happening because some legislators did not like the outcomes of certain court cases.</p>
2	<p><i>SF 507 - WORKER'S COMPENSATION CHANGES TO IDOPATHIC FALLS</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION—OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, HOUSE, AND SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>SF 507 made all unexplained medical falls from one surface onto the same surface non-compensable under the Worker's Compensation Statute.</p> <p>The IFL Position was that it is unknown if a workplace factor caused the fall unless there is an investigation into the circumstances of the fall. This bill took away the ability of a worker to make the case that a workplace issue could have been a contributing factor to an injury and did not arise out of something that was their own medical issue.</p>
3	<p><i>AMENDMENT S-3045 to SF 507 BY BOULTON</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION - SUPPORT</i></p> <p><i>AMENDMENT FAILED</i></p>	<p>Amendment S-3045 was a compromise amendment to SF 507 offered to allow an investigation in cases where there was a specific workplace factor that could contribute to, or increase the likelihood of an injury.</p>
4	<p><i>SF 438—EDUCATION BILL</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION—OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, WAS NOT TAKEN UP BY HOUSE</i></p>	<p>This legislation eliminated requirements of Area Education Agencies to post notices of hearings, and struck many other notices and reporting requirements the IFL believes are in the best interest of children.</p> <p>The final version of the bill corrected some of these issues by still requiring health screenings (dental, vision, blood) and reports of pre-school attendance to be reported to the state. The IFL still opposed these changes and thought there was no need to decrease transparency at the AEAs and many other policy changes in this bill.</p>
5	<p><i>SF 561 - DISQUALIFICATION FROM UNEMPLOYMENT FOR CERTAIN DEFINED "MISCONDUCT" ACTIONS</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, WAS NOT TAKEN UP BY THE HOUSE</i></p>	<p>SF 561 was a list of sixteen (16) items that would automatically disqualify someone from unemployment. While some of these items did make sense (theft of funds, working impaired on alcohol or drugs, etc) much of this language was overly broad.</p> <p>Examples include "dishonesty" without regard to degree or context, creating "animus towards an employer" or another employee, and more.</p> <p>Like in other cases, the IFL argued that there is currently 83 years of case law to draw upon to determine what misconduct is, and that each worker's circumstances should be settled on a case-by-case basis.</p>
6	<p><i>HF 327 - FRANCHISE EMPLOYEE RIGHTS</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL WAS PASSED BY HOUSE AND SENATE, SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>HF 327 sought to "clarify" the relationship between a franchisee and a franchisor. The clarification was that no liability for worker's compensation, unemployment, or any other workplace liability would fall on the parent franchise.</p> <p>Another concern the IFL had was the possibility of employers to get someone who is actually an employee to agree to be a "franchisee," thus absolving themselves of any workplace liability. In short, we felt this would open a whole new way to misclassify workers.</p>

Iowa Senate 2019 Recorded Votes on Labor Bills and Amendments

#	Bill Name & IFL Position	Bill Description
7	<p>HF 692 - ELECTION LAW CHANGES</p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, WAS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE TO MUSTLY JUST ADDRESS POST-MARK ISSUES RELATED TO BAR CODES ON MAILED BALLOTS.</i></p>	<p>After the House passed a bill to deal with the fallout over 29 uncounted ballots in HD55 unanimously, the Senate amended this bill to make sweeping changes to election law.</p> <p>If this version had become law, polls would close earlier, make absentee voting much more difficult and impossible to count even if mailed on time but arrived at the auditor's office late, change the number of signatures for nominations, and more. The IFL felt that none of these voting changes were necessary, and in general believes that we should be making it easier for eligible voters to cast ballots, not harder.</p>
8	<p>SF 634 - LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGETING PRACTICES</p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, HOUSE AND SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>SF 634 is a far reaching piece of legislation that had an intent of limiting property tax increases by capping what local governments can set their budgets at. The IFL saw several problems with this legislation. First, in order to increase a city or county's budget more than 2% a supermajority, or over 2/3rds of a governing body must vote to exceed that limit. For example, 7 of 9, or 4 of 5, etc. must vote in favor. The IFL believes that local officeholders should have the ability to set their own budgets and the appropriate remedy for dissatisfied citizens would be to vote them out of office.</p> <p>Another issue identified is that retirement systems are included under this cap. While retirement systems like IPERS and 411 are payments that local governments are required to make at this time and no immediate changes happened, we are greatly concerned for the future of these systems in such an environment. This policy change makes it possible that in future years local governments may seek changes to their obligations because of these spending limitations.</p>
9	<p>HF 531—UNEMPLOYMENT CHANGES</p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL AMENDED BY SENATE, HOUSE DID NOT TAKE UP THIS VERSION.</i></p>	<p>While this bill started out much more problematic with a one-week waiting period and other provisions it was narrowed down by the Iowa House. This version struck the covered wages from 1/2 to 1/3 for workers of businesses that close, and also eliminated 13 weeks of unemployment for those workers.</p> <p>The Senate also chose to add in SF 561, disqualification from unemployment for certain defined misconduct actions. The House and Senate were unable to come together on a final version.</p>
10	<p>SF 638—STANDINGS APPROPRIATIONS WITH JUDICIAL NOMINATION CHANGES INCLUDED</p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED BY HOUSE, SENATE, AND SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>This version of the "Standings Appropriations" bill included policy language that changed the judicial nominating process that the IFL opposed. The IFL believed that there were no issues with the current system, and that this was happening because some legislators did not like the outcomes of certain court cases.</p> <p>This version differed significantly from other versions floated throughout the legislative session. This version gives the Governor a majority of members on the nominating commission, shortens the Chief Justice's term to two years, and makes other changes.</p>

Iowa Senate 2019 Recorded Votes

		R	Voted Right (IFL Position)							A	Absent or Not Voting								
		W	Voted Wrong							%	Percent of Right Votes								
		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	C	W	A	2019%	Life-time%	
Behn	Jerry	R	24	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5	
Bisignano	Tony	D	17	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	9	1	0	90	93	
Bolkcom	Joe	D	43	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	97	
Boulton	Nate	D	16	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	100	
Breitbach	Michael	R	28	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	6	
Brown	Waylon	R	26	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	2	
Carlin	Jim	R	3	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	3	
Celsi	Claire	D	21	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	100	
Chapman	Jake	R	10	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5	
Costello	Mark	R	12	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	7	
Cournoyer	Chris	R	49	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	0	
Danielson (*)	Jeff	D	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	N/A	97	
Dawson	Dan	R	8	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	2	
Dotzler	Bill	D	31	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	96	
Edler	Jeff	R	36	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	2	
Feenstra	Randy	R	2	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5	
Garrett	Julian	R	13	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5	
Giddens (**)	Eric	D	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	R	R	R	R	R	5	0	0	100	100	

Iowa Senate 2019 Recorded Votes

		R	Voted Right (IFL Position)								A	Absent or Not Voting						
		W	Voted Wrong								%	Percent of Right Votes						
		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	C	W	A	2019%	Life-time%
Guth	Dennis	R	4	W	W	W	W	W	W	A	W	W	W	0	9	1	0	4
Hogg	Rob	D	33	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	99
Jochum	Pam	D	50	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	94
Johnson	Craig	R	32	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	2
Kapucian	Tim	R	38	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	8
Kinney	Kevin	D	39	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	99
Koelker	Carrie	R	29	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	0
Kraayenbrink	Tim	R	5	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5
Lofgren	Mark	R	46	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	2
Lykam	Jim	D	45	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	9	0	1	90	96
Mathis	Liz	D	34	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	99
Miller-Meeks	Mariannette	R	41	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	0
Nunn	Zach	R	15	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	2
Petersen	Janet	D	18	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	96
Quirnbach	Herman	D	23	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	100
Ragan	Amanda	D	27	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	98
Rozenboom	Ken	R	40	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5
Schneider	Charles	R	22	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	4

Iowa Senate 2019 Recorded Votes

R	Voted Right (IFL Position)	A	Absent or Not Voting
W	Voted Wrong	%	Percent of Right Votes

		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	C	W	A	2019%	Life-time%
Schultz	Jason	R	9	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	3
Segebart	Mark	R	6	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	6
Shipley	Tom	R	11	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	7
Sinclair	Amy	R	14	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	6
Smith	Jackie	D	7	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	100
Smith	Roby	R	47	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	9
Sweeney	Annette	R	25	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5
Taylor	Rich	D	42	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	98
Taylor	Todd	D	35	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	9	0	1	90	98
Wahls	Zach	D	37	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	10	0	0	100	100
Whiting	Zach	R	1	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	0
Whitver	Jack	R	19	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	5
Zaun	Brad	R	20	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	9
Zumbach	Dan	R	48	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	10	0	0	6

* Senator Danielson resigned from the Iowa Senate and a special election was held before any record votes were taken.

** Senator Giddens was elected to fill the vacant SD-30 seat mid-session.

Voting Record of the Iowa House - 2019

The agenda of the House is controlled by the Speaker of the Iowa House and the House Majority Leader.

These are the Representatives responsible for the agenda of the Iowa House.

Speaker of the House

Rep. Linda Upmeyer (R)



House Majority Leader

Rep. Chris Hagenow (R)



Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes on Labor Bills and Amendments

#	Bill Name & IFL Position	Bill Description
1	<i>SUBSTITUTION OF HOUSE DISTRICT 55 MINORITY REPORT BY B. MEYER AND WOLFE</i> <i>IFL POSITION: SUPPORT</i> <i>THE HOUSE VOTED TO REJECT THE MINORITY REPORT AND THE HOUSE ADOPTED THE MAJORITY REPORT WHICH LEFT BALLOTS UNCOUNTED.</i>	<p>In the 2018 the election for Iowa House in District 55 left 29 ballots uncounted which may or may not have changed the outcome of the race. The county auditor who refused to count these ballots argued that there was no postmark indicating they were mailed before the deadline, and refused to use any USPS tracking tools other than what is defined in code, the "Intelligent Mail Bar Code."</p> <p>The IFL felt that no matter what the outcome would have been, these ballots cast by Republicans, Democrats, and No Party voters should have been counted and could have been with the tracking tools the USPS has.</p>
2	<i>HF 327 - FRANCHISE EMPLOYEE RIGHTS</i> <i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i> <i>BILL WAS PASSED BY HOUSE AND SENATE, SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR</i>	<p>HF 327 sought to "clarify" the relationship between a franchisee and a franchisor. The clarification was that no liability for worker's compensation, unemployment, or any other workplace liability would fall on the parent franchise.</p> <p>Another concern the IFL had was the possibility of employers to get someone who is actually an employee to agree to be a "franchisee," thus absolving themselves of any workplace liability. In short, we felt this would open a whole new way to misclassify workers.</p>
3	<i>HF 531—UNEMPLOYMENT CHANGES</i> <i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i> <i>BILL WAS PASSED BY THE HOUSE, AMENDED BY SENATE, AND THE HOUSE DID NOT TAKE UP THE FINAL VERSION.</i>	<p>While this bill started out much more problematic with a one-week waiting period and other provisions it was narrowed down by the Iowa House. This version struck the covered wages from 1/2 to 1/3 for workers of businesses that close, and also eliminated 13 weeks of unemployment for those workers.</p> <p>The Senate also chose to add in SF 561, disqualification from unemployment for certain defined misconduct actions, and the House and Senate could not agree on a final bill.</p>
4	<i>HF 752 - LICENSING REVIEWS</i> <i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i> <i>BILL WAS PASSED BY THE HOUSE, AND NOT TAKEN UP BY THE SENATE</i>	<p>This bill requires the State Government Efficiency Committee to review 1/3 of all professional licenses over the two-year period in which it meets. After the committee meets, they are sent to the General Assembly to vote on.</p> <p>The IFL did not believe that many important licenses that our members have needed to be reviewed, and that this was an unnecessary level of bureaucracy.</p>
5	<i>SF 507 - WORKER'S COMPENSATION CHANGES TO IDIOPATHIC FALLS.</i> <i>IFL POSITION—OPPOSED</i> <i>BILL PASSED SENATE, HOUSE, AND SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i>	<p>SF 507 made all unexplained medical falls from one surface onto the same surface non-compensable under the Worker's Compensation Statute.</p> <p>The IFL Position was that it is unknown if a workplace factor caused the fall unless there is an investigation into the circumstances of the fall. This bill took away the ability of a worker to make the case that a workplace issue could have been a contributing factor to an injury and did not arise out of something that was their own medical issue.</p>
6	<i>H-1153 to SF 507 BY WOLFE</i> <i>IFL POSITION: SUPPORT</i> <i>AMENDMENT WAS REJECTED</i>	<p>Amendment H-1153 was a compromise amendment to SF 507 offered to allow an investigation in cases where there was a specific workplace factor that could contribute to, or increase the likelihood of an injury.</p>

Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes on Labor Bills and Amendments

#	Bill Name & IFL Position	Bill Description
7	<p><i>SF 634 - LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGETING PRACTICES</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED SENATE, HOUSE AND SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>SF 634 is a far reaching piece of legislation that had an intent of limiting property tax increases by capping what local governments can set their budgets at. The IFL saw several problems with this legislation. First, in order to increase a city or county's budget more than 2% a supermajority, or over 2/3rds of a governing body must vote to exceed that limit. For example, 7 of 9, or 4 of 5, etc. must vote in favor. The IFL believes that local officeholders should have the ability to set their own budgets and the appropriate remedy for dissatisfied citizens would be to vote them out of office.</p> <p>Another issue identified is that retirement systems are included under this cap. While retirement systems like IPERS and 411 are payments that local governments are required to make at this time and no immediate changes happened, we are greatly concerned for the future of these systems in such an environment. This policy change makes it possible that in future years local governments may seek changes to their obligations because of these spending limitations.</p>
8	<p><i>AMENDMENT H-1304 TO SF 634</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: SUPPORT</i></p> <p><i>AMENDMENT WAS REJECTED</i></p>	<p>This amendment would have removed any retirement system policy changes from the bill.</p>
9	<p><i>AMENDMENT H-1321 SF 638—by HOLT</i> <i>(STANDINGS APPROPRIATIONS WITH JUDICIAL NOMINATION CHANGES INCLUDED)</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>BILL PASSED BY HOUSE, SENATE, AND SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>This Amendment to the "Standings Appropriations" bill included policy language that changed the judicial nominating process that the IFL opposed. The IFL believed that there were no issues with the current system, and that this was being done because some legislators did not like the outcomes of certain court cases.</p> <p>This version differed significantly from other versions floated throughout the legislative session. This version gives the Governor a majority of members on the nominating commission, shortens the Chief Justice's term to two years, and makes other changes.</p>

Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes

R	Voted Right (<i>IFL Position</i>)	A	Absent or Not Voting
W	Voted Wrong	%	Percent of Right Votes

		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	R	W	A	2019%	Lifetime %
Abdul-Samad	Ako	D	35	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
Anderson	Marti	D	36	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Bacon	Robert	R	48	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Baxter	Terry	R	8	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Bearinger	Bruce	D	64	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	8	0	1	89	98
Bennett	Liz	D	65	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	97
Bergan	Michael	R	55	P	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	5
Best	Brian	R	12	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Bloomington	Jane	R	51	W	A	A	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	7	2	0	2
Bossman	Jacob	R	6	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Breckenridge	Wes	D	29	R	R	R	A	R	R	R	R	R	8	0	1	89	98
Brink	Holly	R	80	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Brown-Powers	Timi	D	61	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Carlson	Gary	R	91	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Cohoon	Dennis	D	87	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Derry	Karin	D	39	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Deyoe	Dave	R	49	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Dolecheck	Cecil	R	24	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	4
Donahue	Molly	D	68	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Ehlert	Tracy	D	70	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Fisher	Dean	R	72	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Forbes	John	D	40	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	8	1	0	89	98
Fry	Joel	R	27	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Gaines	Ruth Ann	D	32	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	94

Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes

R	Voted Right (<i>IFL Position</i>)	A	Absent or Not Voting
W	Voted Wrong	%	Percent of Right Votes

		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	R	W	A	2019%	Lifetime %
Gaskill	Mary	D	81	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Gassman	Tedd	R	7	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Gerhold	Thomas	R	75	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Grassley	Pat	R	50	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Gustafson	Stan	R	25	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Hagenow	Chris	R	43	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Hall	Chris	D	13	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
Hanusa	Mary Ann	R	16	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	1	8	0	11	4
Heddens	Lisa	D	46	A	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	0	1	89	96
Hein	Lee	R	96	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Hinson	Ashley	R	67	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Hite	Dustin	R	79	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Holt	Steve	R	18	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Hunter	Bruce	D	34	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
Huseman	Daniel	R	3	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Isenhardt	Charles	D	100	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Jacobsen	Jon	R	22	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Jacoby	Dave	D	74	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	95
James	Lindsay	D	99	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Jeneary	Tom	R	5	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Jones	Megan	R	2	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	1	8	0	11	5
Judge	Kenan	D	44	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	8	1	0	89	89
Kacena	Tim	D	14	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Kaufmann	Bobby	R	73	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	3

Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes

R	Voted Right (<i>IFL Position</i>)	A	Absent or Not Voting
W	Voted Wrong	%	Percent of Right Votes

		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	R	W	A	2019%	Lifetime %
Kerr	David	R	88	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Klein	Jarad	R	78	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Konfrst	Jennifer	D	43	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Kressig	Bob	D	59	R	A	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	0	1	89	94
Kurth	Monica	D	89	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Kurtz	Jeff	D	83	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Landon	John	R	37	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Lensing	Vicki	D	85	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Lohse	Brian	R	30	W	W	A	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	0	0
Lundgren	Shannon	R	57	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	3
Mascher	Mary	D	86	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
Matson	Heather	D	38	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Maxwell	David	R	76	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	3
McConkey	Charlie	D	15	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
McKean*	Andy	R/D	58	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	R	R	3	6	0	33	7
Meyer	Ann	R	9	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Meyer	Brian	D	33	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Mitchell	Joe	R	84	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Mohr	Gary	R	94	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Mommsen	Norlin	R	97	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Moore	Tom	R	21	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	3
Nielsen	Amy	D	77	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
Oldson	Jo	D	41	R	R	A	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	0	1	89	94
Olson	Rick	D	31	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98

Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes

R	Voted Right (<i>IFL Position</i>)	A	Absent or Not Voting
W	Voted Wrong	%	Percent of Right Votes

		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	R	W	A	2019%	Lifetime %
Osmundson	Anne	R	56	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Ourth	Scott	D	26	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	A	8	0	1	89	98
Paustian	Ross	R	92	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Prichard	Todd	D	52	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	97
Running-Marquardt	Kirsten	D	69	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98
Salmon	Sandy	R	63	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Sexton	Mike	R	10	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Shipley	Jeff	R	82	W	W	W	W	R	A	W	W	W	1	7	1	11	11
Sieck	David	R	23	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Smith	Mark	D	71	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0	9	0	100	98
Smith	Ras	D	62	R	R	R	A	R	R	R	R	R	8	0	1	89	98
Sorensen	Ray	R	20	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Staed	Art	D	66	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Steckman	Sharon	D	53	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Sunde	Kristin	D	42	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Thede	Phyllis	D	93	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	100
Thompson	Phil	R	47	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	0
Thorup	Jon	R	28	W	A	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	1	7	1	11	11
Upmeyer	Linda	R	54	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Wessel-Kroeschell	Beth	D	45	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Wheeler	Sklyer	R	4	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Williams	Dave	D	60	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	8	1	0	89	89
Wills	John	R	1	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Winckler	Cindy	D	90	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	98

Iowa House 2019 Recorded Votes

R	Voted Right (<i>IFL Position</i>)	A	Absent or Not Voting
W	Voted Wrong	%	Percent of Right Votes

		D/R	Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	R	W	A	2019%	Lifetime %
Windschitl	Matt	R	17	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	2
Wolfe	Mary	D	98	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	9	0	0	100	99
Worthan	Gary	R	11	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	9	0	0	3
Zumbach	Loius	R	95	W	A	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	0	8	1	0	3

* Representative Andy McKean announced he was switching parties from Republican to Democrat on April 23rd.

#	Bill Name & IFL Position	Bill Description
1	<p><i>HF 327 - FRANCHISE EMPLOYEE RIGHTS</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>HF 327 sought to “clarify” the relationship between a franchisee and a franchisor. The clarification was that no liability for worker’s compensation, unemployment, or any other workplace liability would fall on the parent franchise.</p> <p>Another concern the IFL had was the possibility of employers to get someone who is actually an employee to agree to be a “franchisee,” thus absolving themselves of any workplace liability. In short, we felt this would open a whole new way to misclassify workers.</p>
2	<p><i>SF 507 - WORKER’S COMPENSATION CHANGES TO IDOPATHIC FALLS.</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION—OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>SF 507 made all unexplained medical falls from one surface onto another surface non-compensable under the Worker’s Compensation Statute.</p> <p>The IFL Position was that it is unknown if a workplace factor caused the fall unless there is an investigation into the circumstances of the fall. This bill took away the ability of a worker to make the case that a workplace issue could have been a contributing factor to an injury and did not arise out of something that was their own medical issue.</p>
3	<p><i>SF 638—STANDINGS APPROPRIATIONS WITH JUDICIAL NOMINATION CHANGES INCLUDED</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>SIGNED BY GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>This version of the “Standings Appropriations” bill included policy language that changed the judicial nominating process that the IFL opposed. The IFL believed that there were no issues with the current system, and that this was being done because some legislators did not like the outcomes of certain court cases.</p> <p>This version differed significantly from other versions floated throughout the legislative session. This version gives the Governor a majority of members on the nominating commission, shortens the Chief Justice’s term to two years, and makes other changes.</p>
4	<p><i>SF 634 - LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGETING PRACTICES</i></p> <p><i>IFL POSITION: OPPOSED</i></p> <p><i>SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR</i></p>	<p>SF 634 is a far reaching piece of legislation that had an intent of limiting property tax increases by capping what local governments can set their budgets at. The IFL saw several problems with this legislation. First, in order to increase a city or county’s budget more than 2% a supermajority, or over 2/3rds of a governing body must vote to exceed that limit. For example, 7 of 9, or 4 of 5, etc. must vote in favor. The IFL believes that local officeholders should have the ability to set their own budgets and the appropriate remedy for dissatisfied citizens would be to vote them out of office.</p> <p>Another issue identified is that retirement systems are included under this cap. While retirement systems like IPERS and 411 are payments that local governments are required to make at this time, we are greatly concerned for the future of these systems in such an environment. This policy change makes it possible that in future years local governments may seek changes to their obligations because of these spending limitations.</p>

GOVERNOR		D/R	1	2	3	4	R	W	2018%	Lifetime%
Reynolds	Kim	R	W	W	W	W	0	4	0	0

Record of the Governor - 2019

The Governor decides what laws passed by the legislature to sign or veto.

The Governor also has the ability to veto line-items out of budget bills without vetoing the entire bill.



Governor Kim Reynolds (R)
2017-Current